

My Results for OAEI 2006

Jérôme Euzenat¹ and Heiner Stuckenschmidt²

¹ INRIA Rhône-Alpes, Montbonnot Saint-Martin, France
Jerome.euzenat-at-inrialpes.fr

² VU Amsterdam, The Netherlands heiner-at-cs.vu.nl

Abstract. Summarize your experience.

1 Presentation of the system

This section is compulsory.

1.1 State, purpose, general statement

It is interesting to see here what was the purpose of the algorithm used in terms of the following categories: ontology matching, schema matching, version matching, directory matching. The purpose of this information is to study the correlation between these purposes and the success in some particular tests.

1.2 Specific techniques used

Briefly describe the techniques used by the system.

1.3 Adaptations made for the evaluation

If innovation or special purpose filters have been introduced, please tell this here.

1.4 Link to the system and parameters file

Provide here a link to a web site where to find your system and the parameter files used to obtain the results.

1.5 Link to the set of provided alignments (in align format)

Provide here a link to a web site where to find your results in a ZIP file organized as presented on <http://oaei.ontologymatching.org/2006>.

2 Results

Individual subsections are not compulsory. They can also be grouped but should remain ordered.

2.1 benchmark

Describe remarks specific to this test and tentative explanation of the performances of your algorithm.

2.2 anatomy

Describe remarks specific to this test and tentative explanation of the performances of your algorithm.

2.3 directory

Describe remarks specific to this test and tentative explanation of the performances of your algorithm.

2.4 food

Describe remarks specific to this test and tentative explanation of the performances of your algorithm.

3 General comments

The next subsections are a guideline. You may add other comments if you wish.

3.1 Comments on the results

strengths and weaknesses.

3.2 Discussions on the way to improve the proposed system

3.3 Comments on the OAEI 2006 procedure

3.4 Comments on the OAEI 2006 test cases

3.5 Comments on the OAEI 2006 measures

3.6 Proposed new measures

4 Conclusion

References

Please use BibTeX.

Appendix: Raw results

This section is not compulsory, especially in the short paper.

Matrix of results

You may provide the results here for the benchmarks with precision and recall in x.xx format (properly rounded) and machine processing time in hh.mn.ss.mms format (precise the experimental setting then).

#	Name	Prec.	Rec.	Time
101	Reference alignment			
102	Irrelevant ontology			
103	Language generalization			
104	Language restriction			
201	No names			
202	No names, no comments			
203	No comments			
204	Naming conventions			
205	Synonyms			
206	Translation			
207				
208				
209				
210				
221	No specialisation			
222	Flatenned hierarchy			
223	Expanded hierarchy			
224	No instance			
225	No restrictions			
228	No properties			
230	Flattened classes			
232				
233				
236				
237				
238				
239				
240				
241				
246				
247				
248				
249				
250				
251				
252				
253				
254				
257				
258				
259				
261				
262				
265				
266				
301	Real: BibTeX/MIT			
302	Real: BibTeX/UMBC			
303	Real: Karlsruhe			
304	Real: INRIA			